1	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669			
2	City Attorney JOANNE HOEPER, State Bar #114961			
	Chief Trial Deputy			
3	SCOTT D. WIENER, State Bar #189266 MEGHAN K. HIGGINS, State Bar #235685			
4	Deputy City Attorneys			
_	Fox Plaza			
5	1390 Market Street, 6 th Floor San Francisco, California 94102-5408			
6	Telephone: (415) 554-4283			
7	Facsimile: (415) 554-3837			
′	Attorneys for Defendants			
8	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,			
9	HEATHER FONG, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPAC AND JESSE SERNA	J11 1,		
10	LINITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
12	GREGORY OLIVER, II,	Case No. C07-02460 JL		
13	, ,			
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] CONFIDENTIALITY PROTECTIVE		
	VS.	ORDER ORDER		
15	CHENY AND CONDUCTIVOE CAN	D . A Fil 1 . M . 0 2007		
16	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, a municipal corporation;	Date Action Filed: May 8, 2007 Trial Date: None Set		
17	HEATHER FONG, in her capacity as	That Bate.		
17	Chief of Police for the CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO; JESSE			
18	SERNA, individually, and in his capacity			
19	as a police officer for the CITY AND			
1)	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO; and San Francisco police officers DOES 1-25,			
20	inclusive,			
21	Defendants.			
	Defendants.			
22	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Proced	ure 26(c) the parties have met and conferred and		
23	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) the parties have met and conferred and			
24	agree that the discovery of CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION in this matter be made pursuant to			
24	the terms of this PROTECTIVE ORDER.			
25				
26	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, the partie	es stipulate, though their attorneys of record, to the		
	entry of an order as follows:			
27				
28				
	Protective Order;	1 n:\lit\li2008\071509\00476925.doc		

Oliver v. CCSF, et al. – USDC No. C07-02460 JL

Case 3:07-cv-02460-JL

13

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

1. CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION, within the meaning of this PROTECTIVE			
ORDER, shall include all documents containing peace officer personnel records (including, but not			
limited to, current and former officers' home addresses, medical records, and complaints and			
disciplinary records in the files of the San Francisco Police Department and/or the Office of Citizens			
Complaints), official information and any other such documents that defendants in good faith have			
determined to be confidential. Defendants shall attempt to stamp "Confidential" on all such			
documents prior to production. In the event that any CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION is			
inadvertently not stamped as "Confidential" by defendants, the party who notices this oversight shall			
immediately make it known to the other parties and the documents shall immediately be stamped as			
"Confidential" and treated as such, as per this order.			

- 2. The CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION shall not be exhibited, displayed or otherwise disclosed by Plaintiff's Counsel (or authorized persons described in paragraph 5) to other persons except as specifically provided herein.
- 3. Plaintiff may challenge defendants' designation of a particular document as CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION by filing an appropriate motion, under seal, with the Court. The parties agree that the prevailing party in a motion to remove the confidential designation shall waive any entitlement to monetary sanctions, including attorney's fees.
- 4. Unless disclosure is ordered by the Court, attorneys for defendants shall have the sole authority to determine that documents subject to the PROTECTIVE ORDER are no longer considered CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION and will advise counsel for plaintiff in writing if this determination is made.
- 5. Plaintiff's Counsel may exhibit, discuss, and/or disclose CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION only to the following categories of person and no other unless authorized by order of the Court:
 - Plaintiff's Counsel; a.
 - Experts, investigators or consultants retained by Plaintiff's Counsel to assist in b. the evaluation, preparation, or trial of this case; however, before any expert, investigator, or consultant is permitted to review the CONFIDENTIAL

9

27

- 6. CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION produced pursuant to this PROTECTIVE ORDER may be reviewed and relied upon in this case only, and not for any other purpose. Plaintiff's Counsel may not use any CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION produced pursuant to this PROTECTIVE ORDER in any other litigation.
- 7. Counsel for Plaintiff may <u>not</u> provide originals or copies of the CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION to any plaintiff absent the written agreement of counsel for the City or a court order, subject to the following:
 - a. For purposes of evaluating the settlement value or potential jury verdict, counsel for Plaintiff may discuss the general nature of the CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION with plaintiffs without disclosing any identifying details about a specific incident or any documents.
 - b. Plaintiffs' counsel may also review with a plaintiff any statement or interview given by that plaintiff.
- 8. Unless otherwise stipulated to by defendants, any use of CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION or comment on the substance of any CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION in any papers or pleadings filed with the Court, shall be filed under seal pursuant to the Court's rules and procedures (see Northern District of California Civil Local Rule 79-5). The sealed envelopes shall be endorsed with the caption of this litigation, and an indication of the nature of the contents of the envelopes and a statement substantially in the following form:

"This envelope contains documents that are filed in this case pursuant to a Protective Order and are not to be opened nor the contents thereof to be Protective Order; Oliver v. CCSF, et al. – USDC No. C07-02460 JL

displayed or revealed except by further order of the Court or written consent of the City and County of San Francisco."

- 9. In the event any person desires to exhibit documents or disclose CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION covered under this stipulation during trial or pretrial proceedings, such person shall meet and confer with counsel for defendants to reach an agreement, in accordance with the Court's rules and procedures, on an appropriate method for disclosure, and if defendants do not agree to such disclosure, such CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION shall not be disclosed unless authorized by order of the Court. Unless otherwise agreed, transcripts and exhibits that incorporate or reference CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION covered under this stipulation shall be treated as CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION that is subject to the provisions of this PROTECTIVE ORDER. The Court Reporter shall mark as "Confidential" any deposition or hearing transcript that contains any CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION or any reference to CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.
- 10. Any inadvertent disclosure made in violation of this PROTECTIVE ORDER shall be immediately corrected by the offending party and does not constitute a waiver of the terms of this PROTECTIVE ORDER, except by written agreement of the parties, or further order of this Court.
- 11. All documents covered by this PROTECTIVE ORDER and copies thereof (including those in the possession of experts, consultants, *etc.*) will be returned to the San Francisco City Attorney's Office at the termination of this litigation. On final disposition of this case, plaintiff's counsel shall within 30 days after the final disposition of this case, without request or further order of this Court, return all CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION to the Deputy City Attorney of record in this matter. The provisions of this PROTECTIVE ORDER shall, without further order of the Court, continue to be binding after the conclusion of the action, and this Court will have jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this PROTECTIVE ORDER.
- 12. Should plaintiff(s) fail to comply with this PROTECTIVE ORDER, plaintiff(s) and plaintiffs' counsel shall be liable for all costs associated with enforcing this agreement, including but not limited to all attorney fees in amounts to be determined by the Court. Plaintiff and plaintiff's counsel may also be subject to additional sanctions or remedial measures, such as contempt, evidentiary or terminating sanctions.

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
2		
3	Dated: July 23, 2008	
4		DENNIS J. HERRERA
5		City Attorney
6		JOANNE HOEPER Chief Trial Deputy
7		SCOTT D. WIENER MEGHAN K. HIGGINS
8		Deputy City Attorneys
9		-/s/- Scott D. Wiener
10		By: SCOTT D. WIENER
11		Attorneys for Defendants
12		Attorneys for Defendants CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, HEATHER FONG, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY, AND JESSE SERNA
13		AND JESSE SERNA
14		
15		
16	Dated: July 23, 2008	-/s/- Ben Nisenbaum
17	Dated. July 23, 2006	By:BENJAMIN NISENBAUM
18		Attorney for Plaintiff
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Protective Order; Oliver v. CCSF, et al. – USDC No. C07-02460 JL

ORDER

BASED ON THE ABOVE STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED Dated: THE HONORABLE JAMES LARSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Protective Order; Oliver v. CCSF, et al. – USDC No. C07-02460 JL

n:\lit\li2008\071509\00476925.doc

EXHIBIT A

AGREEMENT TO COMPLY WITH STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

Ι,	, have read and understand the Court's Protective Order for		
CONFIDENTIAL INF	FORMATION. I agree to abide by all terms of the Order. In addition, I		
specifically understand	and agree to the following:		
1. I will not di	1. I will not disclose the CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION to any other person.		
2. I understand	I that I have no power to authorize any other person to review the		
CONFIDENTIAL INF	ORMATION.		
3. I agree not t	o make copies of the CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.		
4. I agree to re	turn the CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION to the counsel for the party that		
produced it, at or before	re the conclusion of this litigation.		
5. I understand	I that if I violate any of the terms of the Protective Order, then Plaintiffs,		
Plaintiffs' Counsel, an	d I may be subject to sanctions or possible contempt.		
AGREED:			
DATE	SIGNATURE		
	PRINT NAME		

Protective Order; 8 n:\lit\li2008\071509\00476925.doc